IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr. Misc. No.6628 of 2008
SANMUKHA DEVI & ANR. Vrs. THE STATE OF BIHAR
****

/4/ **04.09.2008** Counsel for the petitioners is permitted to make necessary correction in paragraph 1 of the bail application.

2. Heard the counsel for the petitioners and the State.

3. Petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Bikramganj P.S. Case No. 210 of 2007 registered for offence under sections 304B and 401/34 of the Indian Penal Code as well as 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act. Petitioner no. 1, Sanmukha Devi, is the mother-in-law and petitioner no. 2, Sarita Devi, is the wife of the elder brother of the husband of the deceased. Counsel for the petitioners submits that that deceased died because of ailment, but, no such material was in the case diary to corroborate this submission.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

4. Considering the fact prayer for grant of anticipatory bail on behalf of the petitioner no. 1, Sanmukha Devi, is **rejected**.

5. The prayer for anticipatory bail on behalf of petitioner no. 2, Sarita Devi, who is gotni of the deceased, is **allowed**. She is directed to be released on anticipatory bail,

in case of her surrender before the Court concerned within four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with the aforementioned case to the satisfaction of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bikramganj, Rohtas at Sasaram, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Criminal Procedure Code.

(Mridula Mishra, J.)

S.A.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL